IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

911 WRIT PETITION NO. 1821 OF 2006

BHIMRAO SHYAMRAO MAHALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1822 OF 2006

SMT. ANNAPURNA TULSHIRAM KOLI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1823 OF 2006

BALU S/O CHUDAMAN PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1824 OF 2006

VIKAS RAMDAS BODADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1825 OF 2006

DEVIDAS S/O DHANU SHEKOKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1826 OF 2006

PANDURANG MAHADU MALI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1827 OF 2006

RAJENDRA S/O PRABHAKAR KANDELKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1828 OF 2006

VINOD S/O MANILAL GUJRATHI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1829 OF 2006

SHRIDHAR S/O SHANKAR DHANGAR/WAGH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

.....
Advocate for Petitioners in all WPs : Mr. Manoj Shinde and
Mr. M.K. Goyanka
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mr. S. K. Tambe
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 20th November, 2019

PER COURT:-

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that all these Writ Petitions pertain to rejection of nomination in respect of election of the Society way back in the year 2006. Learned counsel accepts that all the Writ Petitions have now become infructuous. In view of the same, all the Writ Petitions are hereby dismissed as infructuous. Rule discharged.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

vre/